

YEAR 27 - SEPTEMBER 2023

# GEOTECHNICAL ART


SPECIAL EDITION OF  
THE DUTCH INDEPENDENT  
JOURNAL GEOKUNST



IN ASSOCIATION WITH



**NGO**  
IGS Netherlands



**12<sup>TH</sup> ICG - ROME ITALY**  
**INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON GEOSYNTHETICS**  
**GEOSYNTHETICS: LEADING THE WAY TO A RESILIENT PLANET**

## This special edition of GeoArt is powered by:



NGO (Dutch chapter of the IGS)  
The Netherlands  
Tel. +31 (0)6 50 64 67 60  
[www.ngo.nl](http://www.ngo.nl)



Naue GmbH & Co. KG  
Gewerbestr. 2  
32339 Espelkamp – Germany  
Tel. +49 5743 410  
[www.naue.com](http://www.naue.com)



Kierkamperweg 33  
6721 TE Bennekom  
The Netherlands  
Tel. +31 (0)88 166 2000  
[www.normecqs.com](http://www.normecqs.com)



Westervoortsedijk 73  
6827 AV Arnhem  
The Netherlands  
Tel. +31 (0)85 744 1300  
[www.enkasolutions.com](http://www.enkasolutions.com)



A Division of CMC

Halftheuvelweg 11  
5222 AV 's-Hertogenbosch  
The Netherlands  
Tel. +31 (0)73 624 1916  
[www.tensar.nl](http://www.tensar.nl)



Goorsestraat 1  
7041 GA 's-Heerenberg  
Tel. +31 (0)314 661 644  
[www.genap.nl](http://www.genap.nl)

## The collective members of the NGO:

CDR International BV, Rijssen  
Cofra BV, Amsterdam  
Deltares, Delft  
Enviro Quality Control BV, Maarssen  
Freudenberg Performance Materials B.V.  
Arnhem  
Fugro NL Land BV, Leidschendam  
Genap, 's-Heerenberg  
Geonius Geotechniek B.V., Geleen  
Geopex, Gouderak

GID Milieutechniek, Velddriel  
Havenbedrijf Rotterdam, Rotterdam  
Huesker Synthetic BV, Rosmalen  
Joosten Kunststoffen, Bommel  
Juta Holland BV, Oldemarkt  
Kiwa NV, Rijswijk  
Kwast Consult, Houten  
Movares Nederland BV, Utrecht

Naue GmbH & Co. KG, Espelkamp (Germany)  
Normec QS, Bennekom  
Robusta BV, Genemuiden  
Rijkswaterstaat, Utrecht  
Stybenex, Geldermalsen  
Tefab BV, Gilze  
Ten Cate Geosynthetics, Hengelo  
Tensar International BV, 's-Hertogenbosch  
Witteveen + Bos, Deventer

## COLOPHON

**Editor in chief** Rijk Gerritsen  
**Text editor** Jurjen van Deen  
**Editorial Board** Adam Bezuijen, Piet van Duijnen,  
Suzanne van Eekelen, Paul ter Horst,  
Tara van der Peet

**Production/Publisher** Uitgeverij Educom  
[www.uitgeverijeducom.nl](http://www.uitgeverijeducom.nl)  
[www.vakbladgeotechniek.nl](http://www.vakbladgeotechniek.nl)  
**For more information** NGO (Dutch chapter of the IGS)  
Tel. +31 (0)6 50 64 67 60  
[mail@ngo.nl](mailto:mail@ngo.nl) [www.ngo.nl](http://www.ngo.nl)



**GeoKunst/GeoArt** is published by the Nederlandse Geotextiel Organisatie (NGO). The magazine is published four times a year and is sent to subscribers or on request. The NGO is the official Dutch representation of the International Geotextile Society (IGS). The NGO is a non-profit association consisting of knowledge institutes, laboratories, inspection and certification bodies, engineering firms, contractors, government agencies, manufacturers and suppliers. The NGO promotes knowledge about sustainable design, responsible use and construction with high-quality geosynthetics with many applications in civil engineering, hydraulic engineering, environment and construction.

**Disclaimer** GeoKunst/GeoArt is an independent magazine. Despite constant care and attention devoted to the compilation of the journal, the Nederlandse Geotextiel Organisatie (NGO) or editorial board cannot guarantee the completeness, accuracy or continuous topicality of published data. The NGO or members involved therefore accept no liability for any direct or indirect damage, of whatever type, arising from or in any way related to published data or its use. The content of articles is prepared by the respective author(s) and not (necessarily) by the NGO. In the case of articles, authors, to the excluded NGO, are responsible for correct content and expressions. Therefore, the NGO cannot be held responsible in any way for the content and is not liable for any direct or indirect damage that may result from such content or expressions.


6



## CLIMATE CHANGE AND EXTREME WEATHER CONDITIONS: THE ROLE OF GEOSYNTHETICS SECURING FLOOD DEFENCES AND COASTAL PROTECTION

R. Gerritsen / A. Bezuijen / K. Dorst


12



## FOUR YEARS FIELD MEASUREMENTS IN A PARTLY SUBMERGED WOVEN GEOTEXTILE REINFORCED PILE-SUPPORTED EMBANKMENT

S. van Eekelen / R. Zwaan / A. Nancey / M. Hazenkamp / Y.-H. Jung

16



## GEOGRID-ANCHORED SHEET PILE WALLS UNDER STRIP FOOTING SURCHARGE LOADING, SMALL-SCALE EXPERIMENTS

B. Wittekoek / S. van Eekelen / A. Bezuijen / J. Terwindt / P. van Duijnen / O. Detert / J. van den Berg

## ADVERTORIALS

10 **NAUE GMBH & CO. KG**

**SUSTAINABLE AND INNOVATIVE SOLUTIONS WITH GEOSYNTHETICS FROM NAUE**

15 **GENAP**

**GEOMEMBRANES FOR STORING AND SEPARATING LIQUIDS**

19 **TENSAR**

**IMPROVED KNOWLEDGE AND RESEARCH ON TENSAR GEOGRIDS LEAD TO A REDUCTION IN THE IMPACT ON THE ENVIRONMENT**

20 **NORMEC QS**

**TESTING, INSPECTING AND CERTIFYING FOR OVER 20 YEARS**

22 **FREUDENBERG**


**CONSTRUCTING WINDMILLS ON A SEA-DEFENSE DIKE IN THE NETHERLANDS WITH ENKA SOLUTIONS**



**Genap designs, manufactures and installs durable membrane structures in the civil engineering sector**

**Genap.nl**    

**Tensor**  
A Division of CMC



**SCAN THE QR CODE**  
and start designing  
with Tensor+ today  
[TensorPlus.com](https://www.tensorplus.com)

**Tensor+ DESIGN SOFTWARE**

Tensor+ is a free, cloud-based design software that allows engineers, contractors, and owners to design with geogrid in a variety of applications. The newly enhanced design solution features a simplified user experience with all the features engineers have come to expect and depend upon with Tensor software.

The Tensor+ design software platform enables you to:

- Design and evaluate project specs
- Compare alternative materials and project conditions
- See the cost and time savings in real time as you change parameters
- Analyse the sustainability of your projects

Dear readers and visitors of 12<sup>th</sup> ICG,

Geosynthetics: Leading the Way to a Resilient Planet. This is the theme of the 12<sup>th</sup> International Conference on Geosynthetics in Rome, Italy. The *Nederlandse Geotextiel Organisatie* (NGO), the official Dutch Chapter of the IGS, is grateful to our Italian colleagues who prepared such a warm welcome to this conference, which is one of the world-leading and largest international events dedicated to geosynthetics. This conference brings together international experts and stakeholders in the geosynthetic business, providing an intense 4-day program filled with keynote lectures, training sessions, paper presentations, workgroup meetings, IGS assembly, and a large exhibition

with expert companies. Additionally, there will be numerous opportunities to connect, learn and get inspired by one another.

Working towards a resilient planet and society is absolutely necessary. The effects of climate change are evident in daily news, with increasing periods of extreme drought,

heavy rainfall, and rising sea levels. These factors are already impacting millions of people, and likely the effects will just increase in the coming years. The good news is that geosynthetics can contribute as mitigation measure to limit CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. One of the major goals of the EU Green Deal\* and national programs is to significantly reduce CO<sub>2</sub> emissions (mitigation). This gives opportunities for the civil, hydraulic and environmental engineering sectors. Embedding geosynthetic applications in structures can make an important contribution.

\*Reference [https://commission.europa.eu/strategy-and-policy/priorities-2019-2024/european-green-deal\\_en](https://commission.europa.eu/strategy-and-policy/priorities-2019-2024/european-green-deal_en)

For instance, a reinforced soil retaining structure can reduce CO<sub>2</sub> emissions by an average of 75% (!) compared to a traditional solution with a steel sheet pile wall. Alongside the mitigation of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions to limit climate change, a crucial aspect within the conference theme is climate adaptation: creating resilient solutions. Geosynthetics can contribute to resilient and sustainable solutions for improvements of flood defences, coastal protection, sustainable infrastructure solutions, water containment systems for extreme rainfall and water storage for periods of intense drought.

Within this GeoArt magazine, you will find three interesting articles about Dutch experiences, that highlight the added value of geosynthetics. The first article describes climate challenges and the role of geosynthetics in enhancing flood defences and coastal protection. The second article presents a guideline for partly submerged, geotextile-reinforced pile-supported embankments. Lastly, the third article presents small-scale geocentrifuge experiments on geogrid-anchored sheet pile walls.

Humanity is confronted with multiple and escalating challenges due to climate change. Time is ticking. We have limited time to make big steps forward and make geosynthetics part of our sustainable future. Who takes up the challenge?

We hope you will enjoy this 'GeoArt', which is a special edition of the NGO magazine GeoKunst, and we wish you a lot of inspiration at the 12<sup>th</sup> ICG.

*Be smart. Become resilient.*

**Rijk Gerritsen**

*Editor-in-Chief GeoKunst / GeoArt Magazine*

**Board Nederlandse Geotextiel Organisatie (NGO, IGS Netherlands)**

*Erik Kwast, Rijk Gerritsen, Iljo Fluit, Suzanne van Eekelen, Leo Kuljanski, Joris van den Berg and Technical secretary Joop Groenveld.*





# CLIMATE CHANGE AND EXTREME WEATHER CONDITIONS: THE ROLE OF GEOSYNTHETICS SECURING FLOOD DEFENCES AND COASTAL PROTECTION

## Introduction

Climate change has brought rapidly changing hydraulic conditions, with heavier rainfall, more severe storms, higher river discharges, increased flow velocities and wave overtopping. With nearly a billion people living in low-lying areas near rivers and coastlines, securing and improving flood defences and flood protection schemes has become a global challenge. Integrating geosynthetics on a larger scale into designs can lead to better, faster and/or cheaper construction of new flood defences, levee reinforcements or coastal protections. This has the potential to

considerably boost global flood protection programs. This paper illustrates the benefits and added value of applying geosynthetics in flood defences, aiming to encourage the use of these materials by designers, contractors and authorities. This paper is a shorter and modified version of Gerritsen et al. (2023).

## Climate change observations and impact

Based on data, global sea levels have risen about 0.20 m during the last 100 years, and the rate of rise is accelerating. The implications and


consequences of the rising sea levels for people on earth are enormous. The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC, 2022) has made global assessments of potential scenarios, that predict a sea level rise between 0.3 m and 1.5 m by 2150, depending on the climate scenario. Figure 1 combines measurements and predictions of sea level rise, clearly illustrating the major challenges in reinforcing existing, or realising new flood defences.

The predictions of sea level rise obviously contain uncertainties; nevertheless, the values will have significant implications for the safety, liveability and sustainability of residential, commercial and agricultural areas. Effects such as dune and beach erosion along coastlines, due to high-water conditions, will become increasingly frequent and intense.


The global damage costs that result from floods due to sea level rise are expected to increase significantly. Jevrejeva et al. (2018) show that with a 0.86 m sea level rise (RCP8.5 scenario, median value) and without additional measures for flood defences, the worldwide estimated flood damage costs in the year 2100 are 11600 billion euro/year. However, implementing measures to improve coastal protection, could potentially reduce these annual costs by about a factor 10. Despite this reduction, the costs remain substantial, indicating that the impact of sea level rise and consequential costs of flooding will be very high for all coastal areas worldwide. Haasnoot et al. (2018) listed possible measures for adaptation to the accelerated sea level rise in the Netherlands.

1. Higher and wider flood defences;
2. More beach nourishment;
3. Structural measures to maintain the fresh water supply and water safety;
4. Considerably higher frequencies in closing storm surge barriers.

Applying geosynthetics can have a significant potential for adaptation measures. In this paper we will focus on applications in flood defence structures (1) and coastal defence (2). Building with geosynthetics is highly sustainable, enables the use of local less suitable soils and building in difficult circumstances.



**Figure 1** – Projected Global Mean Sea Level Rise (1950-2150) under different SSP scenarios, given in different colours and reliability range by IPCC (2022), Box TS.4 Sea Level, Figure adapted by Deltares.



**Figure 2** – Schematic section of a high-performance flood defence structure with soil reinforcement, geosynthetic clay liner as a barrier, nonwoven geotextile for filtration and separation and erosion control products on the embankments. Other possibilities (not shown) are erosion control mats and filter layers below a stone revetment.

## ABSTRACT

In the coming decades, it will be a great challenge to respond effectively to the global climate change, causing sea level rise, heavy rainfall, storms and extreme droughts. This response involves both climate mitigation, through CO<sub>2</sub> reduction, and climate adaptation, which requires adjusting our physical surroundings to the changed environmental conditions. Geosynthetics can play

a significant role in addressing these challenges. Geosynthetics contribute to CO<sub>2</sub> reduction, thereby limiting climate change. Additionally, applying geosynthetics in flood defences mitigates issues like higher hydraulic loads, erosion and stability concerns. This paper describes some valuable applications of geosynthetics for adapting and creating safe and resilient living areas.

### Geosynthetics for flood defences

Geosynthetics can serve various functions in flood defences, like erosion protection, reinforcement, separation, sealing, drainage and filtration. Their potential contribution to levee reinforcements is considerable (Gerritsen et al., 2019). However, the complexity of levee reinforcements becomes larger due to higher safety requirements, the need to preserve landscape and buildings, and more severe hydraulic conditions. Also financial budgets for flood control are under pressure. Consequently, alternative and innovative techniques are increasingly seen as necessary or highly desirable.

Figure 2 shows a cross section of a flood defence structure, showing multiple geosynthetics for various functions. Geosynthetic applications reduce the use of primary soil building materials, enables the use of locally available soil, and significantly minimises the environmental impact through lower CO<sub>2</sub> emissions compared to traditional building methods.

To ensure adequate flood defences in the future, the frequency of levee reinforcements in the coming decades will increase. It is therefore important to design the structures in a way that allows for easy adaptation during the next levee reinforcement. This involves ensuring that (geosynthetic) materials can be easily removed from the ground or that structures are extendable.


### GEOTEXTILE FILTER CONSTRUCTIONS UNDER STONE REVETMENTS

Stone revetments play an important role in protecting levees and coastlines. The selection of stone gradings, ranging from 10 kg to over 3 tonnes, depends on the hydraulic conditions. To ensure their proper functioning, it is essential to apply an adequate filter layer system that prevents gradings or subsoil to be washed away. Traditional filtersystems can result in layer structures of 1-2.5 meter thickness.

Using a geotextile filter is an efficient measure below stone revetments, which can save between 0.3-1.0 m of granular filtermaterial. In addition to these savings, the use of geosynthetics can reduce the CO<sub>2</sub>-emissions with appr. 40-50%, due to the significant reduction of the transport of materials. Geosynthetic filter systems in rock



**Figure 3** – Filter construction using a non-woven geotextile below a placed block revetment on the slope and rock in the levee toe (Markermeerdijken, The Netherlands).



**Figure 4** – Geosynthetic Clay Liner (GCL) installed on the levee slope, crest and horizontally in the foreland to enlarge the seepage length from the flood defence base, mitigating the risk of piping.

revetments have become widely adopted in hydraulic engineering projects, due to their easiness of installation and cost efficiency. Figure 3 gives an example of the construction of a placed block revetment on a nonwoven filter on the slope and rock in the levee toe. For the application it is important to consider the filter and application rules from SBRCURnet (2017) and to ensure adequate robustness to avoid damage by sharp stones as described by Bezuijen and Izadi (2018), Izadi et al. (2018), Bezuijen (2023).

### WATER BARRIERS WITH GEOSYNTHETIC CLAY LINERS (GCLs)

As an alternative for a 1 m thick layer of natural clay, it is possible to implement a Geosynthetic Clay Liner (GCL) in river levees. These mats, with a thickness of approximately 1 cm, consist of a cover and bottom geotextile with high quality bentonite in between. GCLs can be used to seal the foreland as an anti-piping measure, or in the levee itself (Figure 4). Apart from cost savings, Von Mauberge et al. (2022) show that

the application of GCLs offers several significant advantages over natural clay such as sustainability (reduced energy requirement and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions for transport), faster construction (less deep excavation and no need for dewatering) and more use of nearby soil. Due to the swelling capacity of the bentonite, the mat is self-healing to a certain extent. In Germany, multiple projects with GCLs in flood defences have been executed in the last decades, for example along the Oder. In the Netherlands, two pilot projects have been initiated by Water Authority Limburg. In Beesel, GCLs have been installed on the crest and slopes of the levee. In Neer, the CGLs were installed in the foreland of the levee to extend the seepage length and prevent piping.

### GEOSYNTHETIC SAND CONTAINERS (GSCS) FOR COASTAL PROTECTION AND REDUCING BEACH NOURISHMENT

Sand-filled geotextile containers can be filled on-site and installed on beaches to stabilize the coastline (Figure 5). These containers can also be used in deeper water to prevent scour or to

fill up large scour holes. Scouring can occur in riverbeds during floods with extreme discharges, in harbours, or due to hydraulic turbulence around structures like dams and outlet structures.


In the area of Lubmin on the Baltic Sea, a hidden underground protection structure has been built over 2 km of coastline using Geotextile Sand Containers (GSCs). A total of 34,000 sand-filled elements, weighing approximately 1.4 tonnes each, were installed (Figure 6). The structure, being covered with sand seamlessly blends with the coastline, without restrictions for tourism and beach life (Pries, 2022).

Geotextile elements are regularly used as break-water core, dune foot defence structures, erosion protection or water retaining structures as shown by Pilarczyk (2000) and Bezuijen and Vastenburg (2012). These applications are used world wide. The use of geotextile elements in coastal or flood defence structures has the potential to significantly reduce the risks and effects of beach and dune erosion. This may reduce the number

of beach nourishments, costs and maintenance frequency of beaches and dunes after severe storms.

### EROSION PROTECTION WITH 3D STRUCTURE MATS

As a result of climate change, there will be higher water levels, stronger currents, increased waves and heavier rainfall. Therefore, more robust and intelligent erosion protection systems for flood defences are increasingly important. Robust erosion protection is crucial in cases of overflowing levee structures. One effective method of erosion protection is the use of three-dimensional geosynthetic structure mats, which reinforce the topsoil layer on embankments (see Figure 7). These mats, known as High Performance Turf Reinforcement Mats (HPTRMs), provides protection of the bare soil or early vegetation, thus providing extra resistance to erosion. This prevents the washing away of grass seeds or young vegetation, ensuring homogeneous germination, resulting in the development of a better-quality grass vegetation.



**Figure 5** – Schematic cross-section of dune protection using Geotextile Sand Containers (GSCs) underground structure, covered with beach sand and planted with helm grass.



**Figure 6** – Installation of Geotextile Sand Containers (GSCs) as a coastal protection measure in the dune core of the sandy beach, Ludmin, Germany.

In addition, the structure mats provide a long-lasting reinforcement of the top layer within the root zone. This may be particularly necessary at locations where higher loads are expected, such as breaking waves, overtopping water and strong currents. Special attention should be given to slope transitions, where the loads are often higher and the strength is less.

### SOIL REINFORCEMENT FOR EMBANKMENT STABILITY AND STEEP SLOPES

Raising embankments on soft soils can cause stability problems. A regularly applied solution is the installation of high-strength soil reinforcement at the base of the embankment, known as 'basal' reinforcement. The strength of this reinforcement typically ranges from 300 to 1500 kN/m. Along the German-Polish border, along the Oder, a 3 km levee stretch was reconstructed to withstand more extreme flood conditions. In order to ensure sufficient stability of the new levee, a high strength geogrid of 1000 kN/m was installed as a basal foundation reinforcement (Figure 8).

Another application of geosynthetics on flood defences is the realisation of steep slopes to reduce land usage. In many cases, there are existing structures such as houses adjacent to these flood defences. As an alternative to vertical retaining walls of steel or concrete, geogrid reinforced soil structures can be used to create a steep slope, see POV Macrostabilität (2018) and CUR/CROW (2018). Retaining walls utilizing geosynthetic reinforcement are generally flexible and are able to deform together with subsoil settlements. This makes geosynthetics



highly suitable for reinforcing levees in soft soil areas. By using Finite Element Models (FEM), the relationship between forces, deformation and the interaction between soil and geosynthetics can provide detailed insights.

### DRAINAGE SYSTEMS

With the rise of water levels outside the levees and subsidence in the polders, the hydraulic loads on flood defences are increasing. The increased hydraulic head will have a negative effect on the stability of flood defences. However, geosynthetic drainage systems can have a positive effect on hydraulic pressures. Installing levee drainage can be useful to avoid failure mechanisms such as macro and micro stability, by influencing the phreatic water line in the embankment.

Geosynthetic drainage mats consist of 3D structure composites, which must be pressure-stable under the given conditions. These drainage mats can be installed vertically (for example as toe drainage), horizontally (partly under the embankment core or berm) or on the slope.

### Conclusions

Climate change has significant effects on flood defences world wide. Sea level rise and extreme weather events have consequences for the safety, quality of life and sustainability of residential, industrial and agricultural areas. In the coming decades, extensive and costly operations to flood defences have to be initiated to keep local areas, larger regions or full countries safe and sustainable.

For the challenge of climate adaption, geosynthetics can contribute to adapt safe and resilient living areas for humanity. Geosynthetics can play a positive role in new or existing coastal and riverine flood defence systems: more sustainable, faster and/or cheaper construction. Making future-proof designs with geosynthetics in embankments is also a challenge. Levees must be adaptable to accommodate future levee reinforcements, in which applied geosynthetics in the levee should be manageable and not be an obstacle. Development of integrated concepts with geosynthetics will offer major potentials to advancing flood protection strategies.

### References

- Bezuijen, A., Vastenburger, E.W. 2012. Geosystems: design rules and applications, CRC Press.
- Bezuijen A., Izadi E., 2018. Damage of geotextile due to impact of stones, 11th International Conference on Geosynthetic, Seoul, South-Korea.
- Bezuijen A., 2023. Field tests on the impact of stones on geotextile compared with theory, in: proceedings of the 12th International Conference Geosynthetics (12ICG), Roma, Italy.
- CUR/CROW, 2018. Kerende constructies van



**Figure 7 –** Installation of a reinforced High Performance Turf Reinforcement Mat (HPTRM) for slope protection.



**Figure 8 –** Installation of high strength geogrids as basal reinforcement below the flood defence at the Oder, Germany.

- gewapende grond, CUR-rapport 198, CROW.
- Gerritsen R., Bezuijen, A., Dorst K., 2019. Klimaatverandering en weersextremen: toepassing van geokunststoffen bij waterkeringen en kustverdediging. GeoKunst, GeoTechniek, maart 2019 (deel 1: 46-51) en juni 2019 (deel 2: 48-53).
- Gerritsen R., Bezuijen, A., Dorst K., 2023. Climate change and extreme weather conditions: applications of geosynthetics securing flood defenses and coastal protection, proceedings of the 12th International Conference Geosynthetics (12ICG), Roma, Italy.
- Haasnoot, M., Bouwer, L., Diermanse, F., Kwadijk, J., van der Spek, A., Oude Essink, G., Delsman, J., Weiler, O., Mens, M., ter Maat, J., Huismans, Y., Sloff, K., Mosselman, E. 2018. Mogelijke gevolgen van versnelde zeespiegelstijging voor het Deltaprogramma, een verkenning, Deltares report 11202230-005-0002, Delft.
- IPCC, 2022. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Technical Summary, IPCC\_AR6\_WGII\_Technical\_Summary.pdf.
- Izadi E., Decraene T., De Strijcker S., Bezuijen

- A., Vinckier D., 2018. A laboratory investigation on the impact resistance of a woven geotextile. Geotextiles and Geomembranes 46(1), 91-100.
- Jevrejeva, S., Jackson, L.P. Grindsted, A., Linck, D., Marzeion, B., 2018. Flood damage costs under the sea level rise with warming of 1.5 °C and 2 °C, Environmental Research Letters.
- Pilarczyk, K.W., 2000. Geosynthetics and Geosystems in Hydraulic and Coastal Engineering, A.A. Balkema, Rotterdam.
- POV Macro stabiliteit, 2018. POV-M grondverbeteringen, Hoogwater beschermingsprogramma (HWBP), Utrecht. ISBN 978-90-8292 48-0-0.
- Pries, J.K., 2022. Coastal protection with geotextile sand containers at Lubmin in Germany. GeoKunst, GeoTechniek, 72-74.
- SBR CUR net, 2017. Ontwerprichtlijn Geotextielen onder steenbekleding, SBR CUR net, Delft.
- Von Mauberge, K., Gerritsen, R., Ehrenberg, H., 2022. Geosynthetics: responsible and sustainable solutions to reduce environmental impact. GeoKunst, GeoTechniek, 49-56. ●

# Sustainable and innovative solutions with geosynthetics from Naue

Sustainability is an increasingly important issue in civil engineering projects. Naue has years of experience with sustainable construction materials and total solutions. Rijk Gerritsen, Manager for Geotechnical and Hydraulic Engineering at Naue Prose Geotechniek The Netherlands, says enthusiastically: „It is great to contribute to sustainable solutions for civil engineering actively. By merging sustainability aspects to designs, material supply and execution, I have one of the most enjoyable and satisfying jobs.“

Naue supplies geosynthetics that significantly improve the execution of groundworks and civil and hydraulic engineering projects. “With our high-quality materials, constructions are designed and executed in a better way. Our geosynthetics are high-quality construction materials applicable for a long service life running from 50 to up to 120 years.”

“Durable structures are built with our materials, even in challenging conditions, such as weak soil (clay/peat) or limited workspace. Think of applications for roads, railways and levees. For example, we optimise road foundations, prevent erosion protection on embankments or ensure sufficient strength and water sealing for a levee.”

## SMARTER CONSTRUCTION

By applying geosynthetics, projects in civil engineering are built more smartly. “Structures become more sustainable. It limits building risks, reduces material transport, lowers construction costs, and the execution is faster. A major benefit is the reduction of primary raw materials such as sand, gravel and clay. These materials become scarcer with the years. For project owners, maintenance must be reduced when the construction is completed. Last but not least, there is also significantly lower CO<sub>2</sub> emission compared to traditional construction methods.

“With Naue, we are leading the way in developing sustainable project approaches and new materials. Recently we went live by launching a new web portal ‘Think. Act. Green.’ indicating three building blocks for sustainable project

success. We focus on materials with a sustainable basis. For example, we are the sole provider of a completely bio-based and biodegradable nonwoven. There is no plastic in this material, and it degrades under natural circumstances without any environmental contamination, which can be of considerable value in specific applications.”

## FASTER IMPLEMENTATION

Geosynthetics offer considerable added value and potential to civil and hydraulic engineering projects. “Geosynthetic clay liners (GCLs) have been installed in a pilot in several levee sections at Beesel in the Netherlands. This unique pilot was organized in cooperation with Waterboard Limburg and contractors Mourik Infra and FL.”

A GCL is a self-sealing geocomposite consisting of two layers of high quality geotextile filled with natural bentonite powder. “This mat is about one centimetre thick and has the same waterproofing as one metre of clay, which is almost unimaginable. To illustrate: one truck of GCLs is roughly equivalent to 250 trucks of clay.”

“With the application, local soil can be reused as much as possible within the project. This is very attractive for both a project owner and a contractor. There is much lesser CO<sub>2</sub> emission, and the pressure on the environment is also reduced. Residents experience much less nuisance from a single truck transporting GCLs to the site. In other words: good for humanity, surroundings and the environment.”



Levee reinforcement Beesel with the installation of geosynthetic clay liner (GCL) on the crest and slopes of the flood defence, replacing a 1 metre thick waterproofing natural clay layer (Waterboard Limburg, contractors Mourik Infra and FL).





*'A smart and sustainable solution with geosynthetics can reduce CO<sub>2</sub> emissions by 50-70%'*

**NAUE ADDED VALUE**

Numerous applications and benefits with geosynthetics in groundworks, civil and hydraulic engineering projects:

- Applications to levees, coastal protection, roads, working platforms, tunnels, railways, water storage ponds, groundwater protection and landfills.
- Innovative and sustainable solutions with geosynthetic clay liners (GCL) and geomembranes (sealing systems), sand containers, geogrids (soil and foundation reinforcement), nonwoven geotextiles (filtration, separation and protection), erosion control systems and drainage mats.
- Proven solutions that can be adapted to challenging project site conditions.
- Support from initial feasibility, design with calculations and drawings, materials supply and installation.



**Naue GmbH & Co. KG**  
 Gewerbestr. 2  
 32339 Espelkamp, Germany  
 info@naue.com  
 naue.com • thinkactgreen.eu



*Schematic overview of the use of geosynthetics in flood defences with geosynthetic clay liners, soil reinforcement, nonwoven geotextiles for filtration/separation and erosion protection on embankments.*



**S.J.M. van Eekelen**  
Deltares,  
The Netherlands



**R.A. Zwaan**  
Deltares,  
The Netherlands



**A. Nancey**  
Solmax / TenCate  
Geosynthetics,  
France



**M. Hazenkamp**  
Solmax / TenCate  
Geosynthetics,  
The Netherlands



**Y. H. Jung**  
Kyung Hee University,  
Republic of Korea

# FOUR YEARS FIELD MEASUREMENTS IN A PARTLY SUBMERGED WOVEN GEOTEXTILE-REINFORCED PILE-SUPPORTED EMBANKMENT

## Introduction

The design guideline CUR226:2016 for geosynthetic-reinforced pile-supported (GRPS) embankments adopted the Concentric Arches (CA) model of van Eekelen (2013, 2015), which was validated with more than 100 measurements taken in the field and in experiments. These embankments were all reinforced with at least one layer of geogrid. Furthermore, all the embankments were unsaturated, and installed above the groundwater table.

Limited research was done on the influence of water in a piled embankment. Briancon and Simon (2012), Sloan (2011), and van Eekelen et al. (2020) showed that heavy rainfall affects measurements. Song et al. (2018) concluded from 2D trapdoor tests with sand that groundwater can degrade the soil arching mechanism. Wang et al. (2019), however, found strengthening of soil arching with increasing water level in full-scale 3D model experiments.

The validated use of CUR226:2016 is possible for



geometries, conditions and materials that match the situation where the measurements for the validation were taken. If these requirements are not met, the guideline requests additional measurements to demonstrate that the CA model gives good results for these conditions, too.

For this purpose, field measurements were done in a partly submerged piled embankment, reinforced with geotextiles only, without geogrids. This paper compares the measured strains with the varying groundwater table and air temperature, and calculations with the CA model of CUR226:2016. This paper is a modified version of van Eekelen et al. (2023).


## A partly submerged geotextile-reinforced piled embankment

Van Eekelen et al. (2022) describe a piled embankment in the Netherlands for a regional motor way that was opened on 6 April 2019. Pile caps (0.75 m x 0.75 m), with smooth, rounded edges, were installed on end-bearing prefabricated concrete piles with an average centre-to-centre spacing of 2.28 m x 2.27 m. Two layers of woven geotextile (TenCate Geolon® PET 400/50) were installed, one with the machine (strong) direction across the road axis, the second parallel to the road axis. Figure 1 shows part of the monitoring set-up. In addition, the air temperature was measured hourly. For more details of the experimental set-up, we refer the reader to van Eekelen et al (2023).

**Figure 1 - Lay-out of the geotextile-reinforced piled embankment and the monitoring equipment.**



**Figure 2 - Measured pore pressures, translated into groundwater table (ppt1 and ppt6) and ditch water table (ppt7).**



**Figure 3 - Comparison measured geotextile strains and to measured groundwater table (ppt1).**

## ABSTRACT

This paper describes measurements in a partly submerged piled embankment, reinforced with geotextiles only. The seasonal effect in the measured geotextile strains strongly matches the seasonal temperature variation. No correlation with the varying groundwater table was found. The measurements remain sufficiently

on the safe side of the results of the Concentric Arches model. Therefore, the CUR226:2016 design guideline may be used for this type of geotextile-reinforced pile-supported embankments, of which the embankment is installed partly below the groundwater table.

## Measurements

### PORE PRESSURES AND GROUNDWATER TABLE

Figure 2 shows the measured pore pressures, translated into groundwater level in m NAP, where NAP is the Dutch reference level. The figure indicates the positions of ppt1 and ppt6; ppt1 lies in saturated soil. However, ppt6 is located higher, and the groundwater table sometimes drops below ppt6.

Figure 2 shows what can happen if a pore pressure transducer is installed in unsaturated soil. Until June 2020, ppt1 and ppt6 match. Just before 1 June 2020, the groundwater table drops below ppt6. This causes an air bubble that starts disturbing the measurements of ppt6, keeping the values of ppt6 well below those of ppt1. In September 2020, the groundwater level passes ppt6 again, the air bubble disappears, and ppt1 and ppt6 match again. In April 2021, the groundwater table passes ppt6 again, resulting in another air bubble that makes the measurements of ppt6 unreliable again.


It seems plausible that ppt1 continuously gives reliable results; it shows a low water table during the very dry summer of 2022, followed by a rainy period in September 2022. The pore pressure transducer in the ditch gave reliable results between February 2020 and June 2021 and between November 2021 and March 2022.

### GEOTEXTILE STRAINS COMPARED TO GROUNDWATER TABLE AND AVERAGE DAY AIR TEMPERATURE

Strain gauges E1 and E2 give higher values than strain gauges E3 and E4 (Figure 3). We cannot explain this difference. The strains show a sea-sonal effect; the strains are higher during summers than during winters. Furthermore, each summer gives slightly higher strains than the previous summer. This can be explained by the creeping behaviour of the geotextile. The measured strains do not correlate clearly with the groundwater table.

Figure 4 zooms in on four dry weeks and four wet weeks. The figure shows a clear daily cycle, the cause of which is unclear. A similar daily effect was found earlier by van Eekelen et al. (2007). The daily cycles of traffic load or soil temperature may have an influence. However, the different strain gauges do not show a peak at the same time of the day.

Figure 4b shows an immediate response on rain: the daily cycle is less clear. Possibly, the relatively




**Figure 4** – Two four-week details of Figure 3; measured geotextile strains and measured groundwater table (a) dry period (no rain) and (b) wet period (several rainy periods).

**Table 1** – Parameters used for the calculations with the Concentric Arches model\*

Date	2019					2020	2030
	28 Feb	1 Mar	5 Mar	12 Mar	24 Apr	29 Feb	25 Aug
Height fill (m)	0.00	0.30	0.60	1.00	1.51	1.51	1.51
Tensile stiffness geotextile (kN/m)	3200	3200	3200	2961	2722	2544	2426

\*Other input values: centre-to-centre distance piles  $s_x = 2.27$  m,  $s_y = 2.28$  m, square pile caps width  $a = 0.75$  m, unit weight fill  $\gamma = 19$  kN/m<sup>3</sup>, fill friction angle fill  $\varphi = 34^\circ$  and  $38^\circ$ , subgrade reaction  $k = 0$  kN/m<sup>3</sup>, traffic load  $p = 0$  kPa and 11.5 kPa (25% of the design load), soil arching reduction coefficient  $K$  is either 1.0 (no soil arching reduction) or 1.58 (soil arching reduction).



**Figure 5** – Comparison measured geotextile strains and the day-average of the air temperature which was measured hourly at the field monitoring location.

constant and low temperature caused by the rain flattens the daily cycle.


Figure 5 shows that the seasonal cycle of average day temperature clearly correlates with the geotextile strains. The geotextile strains are higher in summer. The thermal expansion of the road surface is too small to play a significant role in this seasonal cycle.

### Calculations with the Concentric Arches model


The geotextile strains were calculated using the CA model (van Eekelen, 2013, 2015, CUR226:2016). No partial factors were used. Table 1 gives

the input parameters. Some remarks:

- Usually, the traffic load is chosen  $p = 0$  kPa when comparing the model results to field measurements. In addition to that, a calculation was performed with 25% of the design load, to account for the permanent influence of the traffic load on the strains in the geotextile.
- CUR226:2016 requests to reduce the soil arching for a relatively thin piled embankment like this one, with a high traffic load. It is assumed that the soil arching is reduced permanently due to the on-going traffic load. The soil arching reduction factor ( $K$ ) equals 1.58 for this configuration and traffic load, following Table



**Figure 6** – Comparison measured geotextile strains and geotextile strains calculated with the CA model. Predictions higher than measured values are on the safe side.



**Figure 7** – Extension of the validation of the CA model with the new data, with in the calculations:  $\varphi = 38^\circ$ , traffic load  $p = 0$  kPa and  $\kappa = 1.58$ . Measured values of E1, E2, E3, E4 are day averages on 12-3 / 24-4 / 1-9-2019 and 29-2 / 1-9-2022. The calculations were done using the input values given in Table 1.

2.3 of CUR226:2016.

- It is expected that the calculation with some traffic load and soil arching reduction matches the real situation best.

### Comparisons measurements and calculations

Figure 6 compares the measured and calculated geotextile strains. The smallest calculated strains agree reasonably well with the average values of E1 - E4. All other calculations give higher values than the measured values, so application of CUR226:2016 leads to a safe design.

Figure 7 extends of the validation of van Eekelen et al. (2015). The figure shows that the measurements of E1 and E2 agree well with the calculations, and the measurements of E3 and E4 give lower values than calculated. This result is on the safe side, too. From this, we may conclude that the CA model, and therefore CUR226:2016, is applicable for this piled embankment of which the embankment was installed partly below the groundwater table. This conclusion is valid for woven geotextiles as applied in this monitoring project.

### Conclusions

A partly submerged geotextile-reinforced piled embankment was monitored. The measured geotextile strains show no correlation with the groundwater level. However, the measured strains have a strong seasonal cycle that match the seasonal cycle in the average day air temperature quite well. This seasonal relationship between the air temperature and the geotextile strains should be further analysed.

The CA model matches the measurements well. The CUR226:2016 design guideline adopted this CA model. Therefore, CUR226:2016 is applicable for this type of geotextile-reinforced piled embankment, which is installed partly below the groundwater table. This conclusion is valid for the woven geotextiles as applied in this monitoring project.

### Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful for the support of the TKI-PPS funding of the Dutch Ministry of Economic Affairs, TenCate Geosynthetics, the Kyung Hee University in Seoul, Republic of Korea, BAM and Deltares, Netherlands.

### References

- Briançon, L., Simon, B. 2012. Performance of Pile-Supported Embankment over Soft Soil: Full-Scale Experiment. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering* 138, 551–561. BSI 2015.
- CUR226:2016. van Eekelen S.J.M. & Brugman, M.H.A. (Eds.) 2016. Design Guideline Basal Reinforced Piled Embankments. CRC Press, Delft, Netherlands.
- Sloan, J.A. 2011. Column-Supported Embankments: Full-Scale Tests and Design Recommendations. PhD Thesis. Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, Virginia, USA.
- Song, J., Chen, K., Li, P., Zhang, Y. & Sun, C. 2018. Soil arching in unsaturated soil with different water table. *Granular Matter* 20, 78.
- van Eekelen S.J.M. 2015. Basal Reinforced Piled Embankments. PhD Thesis Delft University of Technology, Delft, Netherlands. ISBN 978 94 6203 825 7.
- van Eekelen, S.J.M., Van, M.A. Bezuijen, A. 2007. The Kyoto Road, a full-scale test. Measurements and calculations. In: *Proceedings of ECSMG14, Madrid, Spain* 1533-1538.
- van Eekelen S.J.M., Bezuijen, A., van Tol, A.F. 2013. An analytical model for arching in piled embankments. *Geotextiles and Geomembranes* 39, 78–102.
- van Eekelen S.J.M., Bezuijen, A. van Tol, A.F. 2015. Validation of analytical models for the design of basal reinforced piled embankments. *Geotextiles and Geomembranes* 43, 56–81.
- van Eekelen, S.J.M., Bezuijen, A., van Duijnen, P.G., 2012. Does a piled embankment 'feel' the passage of a heavy truck? Field measurements. In: *Proc. 5th European Geosynthetics Congress, Valencia, Spain*, pp 162-166.
- van Eekelen S.J.M., Venmans, A.A.M., Bezuijen, A. van Tol, A.F. 2020. Long term measurements in the Woerden geosynthetic-reinforced pile-supported embankment. *Geosynthetics Int.* 27-2, 142-156.
- van Eekelen, S.J.M., Zwaan, R., Nancey, A., Hazekamp, M., Jung, Y.M. 2022. Field measurements in a partly submerged woven geotextile-reinforced pile-supported embankment. *Proc. EuroGeo7, Poland. IOP Conf. Ser.: Mater. Sci.vEng.* 1260 012046. doi:10.1088/1757-899X/1260/1/012046.
- van Eekelen, S.J.M., Zwaan, R., Nancey, A., Hazekamp, M., Jung, Y.M. 2023. Four years field measurements in a partly submerged woven geotextile-reinforced pile-supported embankment. *Proc. ICG12, Rome, Italy.*
- Wang, H.L., Chen, R.P., Cheng, W., Qi, S. & Cui, Y.J. 2018. Full-scale model study on variations of soil stress in geosynthetic-reinforced pile-supported track bed with water level change and cyclic loading. *Can. Geotech. J.* 56: 60–68 (2019). ●

# Geomembranes for storing and separating liquids

Ever since its establishment in 1951, Genap has been involved in many civil engineering projects globally. With HQ in the Netherlands and production facilities in multiple countries (Mexico, Canada, Kenya, India) Genap provides solutions locally. Director Dick van Regteren has been the owner and director of Genap since 2007 and is passionate about his company: "Our global company is dedicated to the development of geomembrane solutions. And we do it all under the same roof, including our own in-house laboratory and engineering departments."

Genap focuses on using geosynthetics in projects to store and separate liquids, especially water. Van Regteren explains: "In the Netherlands, we work on many large civil engineering projects. For example, we cover landfill sites with our geomembranes, or we install membrane structures in road construction to separate them from groundwater." Genap's geosynthetics form an artificial barrier. "For in places where there is no natural barrier for the storage or separation of (liquid) substances, you have to come up with a different solution. We have realized many wonderful, pioneering projects in that respect. A good example is a project at the largest landfill site in the Netherlands. At Derde Merwedehaven in Dordrecht, we installed various types of geo-membranes and drainage mats to build a final landfill closure system."

## Separation of liquids

Genap can apply geomembranes in both dry and wet conditions. This is unique in the market. "In a wet excavation pit, we can submerge not only geotextiles and geosynthetic membranes but also bentonite matting in a coordinated fashion. This creates an artificial barrier between water and soil. Or between dirty and clean liquids. For example, we were commissioned by Van den Herik Hydraulic



Final closure system of landfill site Derde Merwedehaven Dordrecht



Geomembrane structures for four tunnel ramps "in wet conditions."

**We are the only ones in the Netherlands to apply geosynthetics in both wet and dry conditions.**

Engineering to install a membrane structure that acts as a separation barrier in a reservoir for the production of drinking water."

## The best solution for every project

At Genap everything is based on the customer's request. What is the exact request and which solution fits best? "Of course, we have our regular suppliers, but we assess each project to see what the requirements are and adapt accordingly. Ultimately, you don't want to rely on a single product, but on a best-practice solution."

Sustainability is also important to Genap. As such, the company uses as many sustainable, long-lasting products as possible. "By doing so, we prevent the further spread of microplastics and pollution. We therefore work closely with certified institutions to ensure that sustainable products are also guaranteed in the supply chain."

[www.genap.nl](http://www.genap.nl)



**Genap**



**B. Wittekoek**  
Deltares, Delft  
Netherlands



**S.J.M. van Eekelen**  
Deltares, Delft  
Netherlands



**A. Bezuijen**  
Deltares, Delft  
Netherlands



**J. Terwindt**  
Data Engineer at Scarp  
DENA, Sweden



**O. Detert**  
Huesker Synthetic  
Germany



**J.H. van den Berg**  
Huesker Synthetic  
Germany



**D. König**  
Ruhr-Universität Bochum  
Germany

# GEOGRID-ANCHORED SHEET PILE WALLS UNDER STRIP FOOTING SURCHARGE LOADING, SMALL-SCALE EXPERIMENTS

## Introduction

A geogrid-anchored sheet pile wall (SPW) is a relative new application of geogrids (van Duijnen et al., 2022, Wittekoek, 2020, Wittekoek et al., 2022). The system is closely linked to a retaining wall of reinforced soil with a full-height facing as well as to a traditional anchored SPW. However, the geogrid-anchored SPW has more embedment than a retaining wall of reinforced soil. And contrary to a traditionally anchored SPW, a geogrid anchor is also effective within the active soil wedge when the SPW deforms. This paper looks at small scale experiments, to get a feeling for how the system works. This paper is a shorter version of Wittekoek et al. (2023).

## Small-scale experiments

Figure 1 shows the test set-up of the small-scale experiments. The aluminium model-SPW models the upper part of the embedded part of the SPW and was free to slide along the box bottom.

The polypropylene (PP) model-geogrid had a short-term stiffness of 191 kN/m at 2% axial strain and a short-term tensile strength of 16.2 kN/m at a maximum strain of 13.5%. Table 1 lists the properties of the sand fill.

A silicon block model at the passive side has a stiffness of 159 kPa up to a strain of at least 8%. This silicon block was tailored to simulate passive resistance as realistic as possible. The strip surcharge load is applied by loading a 0.1 m wide footing with a barrel that is filled with water during the test (the blue barrel in Figure 1). The soil-wall friction was minimized with a lubricated thin (< 1mm) transparent silicone sheet. Wittekoek et al. (2022) showed that tests in an eight times wider test box gave similar slip surfaces, proving that the narrow box results were sufficiently reliable to analyse qualitatively. The movement of the soil was tracked using the Particle Image Velocimetry (PIV) technique as implemented by Stanier et al. (2015).

## Results small-scale experiments


### THE LOCATION OF THE STRIP SURCHARGE LOAD

Figure 2 shows how the location of the surcharge load determines the failure mechanism. Two slip surfaces develop from the two edges of the strip footing towards the SPW, dividing the soil into three different zones. Zone I is characterized by rigid soil body motions. The active zone II slides along the critical slip surface 1A. Zone III is stable. The third slip surface in Figure 2 only occurred in Test 19, not in duplicate Test 18 or any other test.

A greater distance between load and SPW results in stiffer behaviour (Figure 3): the wider slip surfaces mobilize more shear resistance, and the load is distributed to deeper soil. Figure 3a and b differ remarkably. If the load is at 84 mm from the SPW, the 60 mm geogrid is located fully in zone I. Nevertheless, the bearing capacity increases compared to the situation without geogrid. The load position has less influence for longer geogrids (Figure 3c and d).

### GEOGRID ANCHOR LENGTH

Longer geogrids provide more resistance (Figure 4) which increases the bearing capacity of the entire system. The longest geogrid initially behaves stiffer than the shorter geogrids. Figure



**Figure 1 – Test set-up.**

**Table 1 – Properties Baskarp B15 sand.**

Parameter	Value	Parameter	Value
Relative density $I_D$ (%)	63-83	Dilatancy angle $i_{\text{triax}}(^{\circ})$	15.0
Median particle diameter $D_{50}$ (mm)	0.137	Cohesion $c$ (kPa)	0.6
Coefficient of uniformity $D_{60}/D_{10}$ (-)	1.6	Secant Young's modulus at confining pressure of 100 $E_{50}^{\text{ref}}$ (MPa)	72.4
Secant internal friction angle $\varphi_{\text{sec}}^{\text{triax}}(^{\circ})$	37*	Power in power law material stiffness $m$ (-)	0.54
Residual internal friction angle $\varphi_{\text{res}}^{\text{triax}}(^{\circ})$	34	Poisson ratio $\nu$ (-)	0.25

\* Plane strain value of  $(1^{1/3} \cdot \text{triaxial value}) = 45^{\circ}$ .




## ABSTRACT

Small-scale experiments on geogrid-anchored sheet pile walls (SPWs) under strip footing surcharge loading were conducted at the Deltares laboratory. The following was concluded from the experiments. Two slip surfaces develop, starting at the edges of the strip footing. They divide the soil behind the SPW into three zones. The paper analyses the contributions of each of these zones to the failure


load of the structure. The location of the strip footing surcharge load, the geogrid length and the number of geogrid anchors all affect the failure load of the structure. Furthermore, the slip surface reorients at the intersection with geogrids, and even very short geogrid anchors contribute to the total resistance.

**Table 2** – The test series. This paper gives results of the tests with bold-printed numbers. Duplicate tests are denoted by a forward slash.

Test number	12/13	14/15	16/17/45	18/19	20/21	22/23	28	30	31	41/42	43/44	47	48	51	52
Number of geogrids	1	1	1	1	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1
Length geogrids (mm)	110	110	180	180	180+110	180+110	60	60	60	180	110	-	-	130	130
Connected to SPW?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	-	-	No	Yes
Vertical distance top SPW-geogrid (mm)	50	50	50	50	50+120	50+120	50	50	50	50	50	-	-	50	50
Horizontal distance surcharge load-SPW (mm)	30	60	30	130	130	30	30	84	30	30	30	84	30	30	30
Relative density fill (%)	67/71	73/74	68/74/76	74/73	71/64	74/78	81	78	68	75/76	69/76	75	71	67	65



**Figure 2** – Slip surfaces for a surcharge load of -4 kN/m. Test 19. 1A: critical slip surface and 1B: secondary slip surface. The slip surfaces divide the soil in three zones: active zone II between zones I and III.



**Figure 3** – Influence of the location of the surcharge load (a) without geogrid (b) 60 mm geogrid (c) 110 mm geogrid and (d) 180 mm geogrid.

4b shows a straight slip surface for all geogrids. Only for the longest geogrid of 180 mm (Test 45), the slip surface crosses the geogrid and a second curved slip surface develops. The initial straight slip surface is therefore not the critical one. The geogrid is activated more efficiently, and the orientation of the slip surface at the intersection with the geogrid changes. The geogrid is activated more efficiently, and the orientation of the slip surface at the intersection with the geogrid changes, like also found by Ziegler (2010). The slip surface therefore becomes longer and curved.

### A SECOND GEOGRID ANCHOR

Figure 5 compares 1 and 2 geogrids. The deforma-

tions are equal up to a surcharge load of 3.0 kN/m. Above 4.0 kN/m, the SPW slides along the box bottom in both tests. This failure mode is triggered by the relatively high resistance of the geogrid anchor(s). For this higher surcharge load, the second geogrid limits the deformations when the vertical pressure on the geogrids (and therefore the soil-geogrid interface friction) increase. This is in line with the 2D FEM calculations of Schoen et al. (2023), that showed that the geogrid anchor is more effective when installed at a lower level.


Contrary to expectations, point Z settles more than point Y. The second geogrid increases this difference. Obviously, the geogrids limit the settlement of the soil above. Figure 6 shows how

the second geogrid changes the slip surface: it becomes slightly wider, and therefore longer, as it circumvents the second geogrid.


### CONNECTION GEOGRID – SHEET PILE WALL

In four tests, the geogrid was not connected to the SPW (Figure 7). From these tests we conclude that:

- Connecting the geogrid increases the failure load.
- Short non-connected geogrids  $\leq 130$  mm hardly contribute to the failure load.
- Short connected geogrids  $\leq 130$  mm increase the failure load, although they are located in zones I and II only. So, zones I and II are only activated when the geogrid is connected to




**Figure 4 – Influence of the geogrid length. Surcharge load at 30 mm from the SPW. The background of the right-hand figure is Test 45 (180 mm geogrid).**




**Figure 6 – Slip planes for 1 or 2 geogrids.**

- the SPW and the geogrid has moved downwards with the soil in zone II.
- Short geogrids  $\leq 130$  mm do not reinforce the soil, because the short non-connected geogrids do not provide more failure resistance than found in the situation without geogrid.
- The increase in failure load due to connecting the geogrids ( $\leq 130$  mm) indicates the presence of the ‘membrane effect’. This term refers to the capacity of the geogrid to be deformed, while absorbing forces that were initially perpendicular to its surface. When the geogrid moves downwards with the soil in Zone II, tensile forces develop in the geogrid through which the geogrid transfers vertical soil pressures to zone I, the SPW, if connected, and zone III.
- The 180 mm geogrid, even if not connected to the SPW, contributes to the total resistance. The failure load results from the pull-out resistance in zones I and III.
- Connecting the 180 mm geogrid activates the rear part of the geogrid (zone III) more effectively and increases the failure load. However, the rear part contributes most to the total resistance at higher load levels while the geogrid is being pulled out by the sliding soil mass in zone II.
- The total resistance of a connected geogrid anchor consists of contributions of the membrane effect (zone I), frictional resistance (zone II) and pull-out resistance (zone III).



**Figure 5 – Load-displacement behaviour for 1 or 2 geogrids. Surcharge load at 30 mm from the SPW. Tests 45 and 22: both have a 180 mm geogrid at the same position, Test 22 has a second geogrid (110 mm).**



**Figure 7 – Difference between geogrids that are connected or not to the SPW.**

## Conclusions

A series of small-scale tests of geogrid-anchored SPWs led to the following conclusions. Two slip surfaces, starting at the edges of the strip footing, divide the fill behind the SPW into three zones: the active zone II, zone I between SPW and active zone II. The paper analyses the contributions of each of these zones to failure. The location of the strip footing surcharge load, the length of the geogrids and the number of geogrid anchors affect the failure load of the structure. The slip surface at the intersection of the critical slip surface reorients with the geogrids, and even a very short geogrid anchor contributes to the total resistance.

## Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful for the support of the TKI-PPS funding of the Dutch Ministry of Economic Affairs, Deltares, Delft University of Technology, GeoTec Solutions, Huesker BV, Huesker GmbH and Ruhr-Universität Bochum Germany.

## References

- Schoen, M., König, D., Lavasan, A.A., Wichtmann, T., Hölter, R., Wittekoek, B., van Eekelen, S.J.M., van Duijnen, P.G. & Detert, O. 2023. Numerical investigation of geogrid back-anchored sheet pile walls. In: proc. 12 ICG, Rome, Italy.
- Stanier, S.A., Blaber, J., Take, W.A. and White, D.J. (2015). Improved image-based deforma-

- tion measurement for geotechnical applications. Canadian Geotechnical Journal. <https://doi.org/10.1139/cgj-2015-0253>
- van Duijnen, P.G., Detert, O., Lavasan, A.A., van den Berg, J., König, D., Hölger, R., van Eekelen, S.J.M. 2022. Geogrid-anchored sheet pile walls: first trial project. In: proc. Eurogeo7, Warsaw, Poland.
- Wittekoek, B. 2020. Analysis of the behaviour of geogrid-anchored sheet pile walls. Small-scale experiments and 2D Plaxis analysis. MSc thesis TU Delft. <https://repository.tudelft.nl/islandora/object/uuid%3A94cf64cc-ff09-4f4be-bde8-42d914b3c7d0>.
- Wittekoek, B., van Eekelen, S.J.M., Terwindt, J., Korff, M., van Duijnen, P.G., Detert, O. and Bezuijen, A. 2022. Geogrid-anchored sheet pile walls; a small-scale experimental and numerical study. Geosynthetics International. <https://doi.org/10.1680/jgein.22.00501>
- Wittekoek, B., van Eekelen, S.J.M., Bezuijen, A., Terwindt, J., van Duijnen, P.G., Detert, O., van den Berg, J.H., and König, D., 2023. Geogrid-anchored sheet pile walls under strip footing surcharge loading, small-scale experiments. In: proc. 12ICG, Rome, Italy.
- Ziegler, M. 2010. Investigation of the Confining Effect of Geogrid Reinforced Soil with Plane Strain Model Wall Tests. Aachen, Germany: Rheinische Westfälische Technische Hochschule Aachen (RWTH). ●



# Improved knowledge and research on **Tensar geogrids** lead to a reduction in the impact on the environment



**Paul ter Horst, BBA-BEng**  
Area Manager, Tensar International BV  
NGO-Committee member Innovation & Knowledge

Fuelled by the increasingly clear wishes of clients to build environmentally efficiently, innovative solutions with Tensar geosynthetics are becoming increasingly common. That is precisely why geogrids are bound by rules regarding reliability and risks. In addition to a proven extended design life, aspects like durability, and sustainability are certified.

After five decades of extensive research and our continuing innovations, Tensar now clearly outperforms all prior geogrids with the new Tensar® InterAx®. Whether to reduce construction time, cost, carbon or extend design life, these benefits are quantified in design software Tensar+.

With the change of the most important European sustainability standard EN 15804 + A2, the Dutch determination method “Environmental Cost Indicator”, known as MKI, has also changed. This meant that new Life Cycle Assessments (LCA), additional tests and substantiated reports had to be conducted before July 2022, and Environmental Product Declarations (EPD), changed. All EPD’s, which must be included in the National Environmental Database, or NMD, to determine a valid MKI-score, therefore were renewed to the required complete “A to D”.

Applying Tensar® InterAx® broadly results in two improvement factors. First, less granular material used and/or asphalt, which leads to fewer site visits for supply or removal, but also less CO<sub>2</sub> and nitrogen emissions. Secondly, increased service life of trafficked surfaces, and thus reduction of maintenance postpones reconstruction, and the total cost over its entire service life.

When calculating with Tensar® InterAx® in accordance with the current

guideline CROW C1001, the amount of granular material can be reduced by up to 60% and the design life of roads can be extended to more than 300%.

This leads directly to the improving MKI scores and increases the chance of awarding a project to contractors. Tensar® InterAx® related improved performance makes the difference even greater compared to the performance of already existing solutions with, among other things, geotextiles, or other solutions such as chemical stabilization.

Although both improvement factors are included in the MKI-score, the biggest gain is in the reduction of thickness with a mechanical stabilized layer (MSL), and therefore the required amount of granular material. Due to this material reduction, the CO<sub>2</sub> footprint decreases heavily, but also excavation, compaction, and transport.

CROW C1001 provides the insight into this “structural contribution” of a geogrid by means of calculations with certified “improvement factors” determined during full-scale research. So, no selectively chosen lab-parameters which are mainly “more” but contribute nothing, nor can be included in a design. Designers can therefore use a CROW C1001 calculation, based on empirical models, to reliably determine pavements for the required service life.

Therefore Tensar introduced Tensar+, a free, cloud-based design software that allows to design with geogrids in a variety of applications and design methods.

Now everybody can quantify or express performance in design life, and thickness reduction while maintaining service life. So indicating reduction of construction costs, time, and carbon, in real-time as parameters change. Furthermore, see the reduced environmental impact of projects.



**Tensar**<sup>®</sup>  
A Division of CMC

+31 (0)73 624 1916  
[www.tensar.nl](http://www.tensar.nl)

# Normec QS: testing, inspecting and certifying for over 20 years



Contact person  
**Iljo Fluit**  
General Director

For more than 20 years, Normec QS has been providing independent, accredited services in testing, inspection and certification of plastic films, pipes, structures, renewable energy and biobased products. Since 2021, the company has been part of the Normec Group, specifically the Sustainability division. Normec QS customers find that this allows them to be served even better, also because they often need services in multiple areas. Several new international customers have therefore joined the Normec Group since 2021. The complementary services certainly contribute to this. The personal, customer-oriented approach has remained. This is typical of the entire Normec Group.

## Service life testing for geosynthetics


An example of that customer focus is the custom-made inspections Normec QS carries out for customers when it comes to the service life of applied plastics. This depends on various environmental factors. Due to chemical, physical and mechanical degradation processes, the service life may be shorter than expected. A laboratory study can identify this premature failure. Normec QS's laboratory facilities are equipped for research into the expected lifetime of plastic materials and structures, among others.

For example, research is carried out to determine the expected service life of a foil construction or geotextile. A starting point may be to carry out long-term tests. This allows a statement to be made about a life expectancy for a period of at least 100 years. A recognised long-term behaviour expert interprets the research results and records them in a research report with life expectancy.

## Fully independent inspection of plastic pipes.



*Destructive testing and lifetime prediction in the accredited laboratory.*



### Predicting residual lifetime

PVC and PE (pressure) pipes make up a large part of transport pipes for gas, drinking and wastewater. As these pipes have been laid and used since the middle of the last century, the (remaining) lifetime of plastic distribution pipes is a growing area of interest for operators. Normec QS has the expertise and research facilities to map the residual lifetime of piping systems.

By surveying the current condition of piping systems, it is possible to predict the residual lifetime. This allows more targeted investment decisions to be made. Thus, large-scale network renewal can give way to replacements at the most crucial locations.

### Biodegradability

For the combination lifetime and environmental impact, Normec QS collaborates with sister company Normec OWS. They are global leaders in determining biodegradability. When plastic products end up in nature, they should preferably degrade over time and not cause damage to the environment. Normec OWS offers degradation and toxicity tests

under different conditions to simulate how materials degrade and behave when released into different environments.

The environment in which the product is tested should depend primarily on the expected end-of-life. Degradability is not only an inherent material property, but also depends on environmental conditions such as temperature, biological activity and microbial diversity.

**In short, through its specialist knowledge of applied plastics, Normec QS helps make the world a better place and save costs for its customers. For more information, visit <https://normecqs.com> or contact Normec QS specialists directly. They will be happy to help you!**

31 (0)88 166 2000  
normecqs.com



**Normec**  
QS



(Credits: RWE)

# Constructing windmills on a sea-defense dike in The Netherlands with Enka Solutions

**The Netherlands is a small, densely populated country where space for any type of construction is at a premium. This is particularly true when decisions on the construction of windfarms have to be made, and every effort is made to locate these away from centers of population. The construction of a windfarm in the north of the country is a good example of this.**

To make the most of both available space and wind, it was planned to locate the windfarm on a primary sea-defense dike – a world first for this application. The dike was already scheduled for upgrading, and the additional design and construction work required for the foundations and working platforms was readily taken into account in the overall project.

## Wind turbines on sea-defense dike

The windfarm Oosterpolderdijk, owned by the energy company RWE, is situated on a primary sea-defense dike in the northeastern tip of the Netherlands, near Eemshaven. The park went into operation at the end of 2021 and consists of three turbines with shaft heights of 98 m. Their construction at a location such as this was a world premiere and was preceded by technical analyses of the dike's hydraulic stability, its robustness, its overall stability, and the risk of a breach.

The sea-defense dike required improvements in both profile and stability. The construction of the windfarm was incorporated in the earthworks involved here, and the windmill foundations were

incorporated in the profile of the dike. As the dike protects the lower-lying polders against storm events, floods, and high tides, ensuring hydraulic stability was a top priority both during construction and in the operational phase. This was of course the foremost requirement of the responsible Water Board Noorderzijlvest.

## MCHS reinforcement

An additional challenge was the design and construction of the Main Crane Hard Stands (MCHS) and the turbine foundations within the limited working space. Challenged by the contractor, a joint venture of Boskalis and KWS, to come up with a suitable design, the Enka Solutions team proposed a solution including the use of the high-strength bi-axial geogrid Enkagrid MAX 60.

Based on the given parameters and requirements, the team came up with a design summarized as follows:

- Construction of hardstands, 15 x 15 m, next to the three wind turbines
- Application of three Enkagrid MAX 60 geogrid layers embedded in the MCHS structure to increase bearing capacity and stabilization
- Installation of Enkagrid MAX 60 layers at 90° to one another
- Wrap-around methodology used at the toe of the dike to ensure the stability of the platform's steep side slopes



Windfarm Oosterpolderdijk (Credits: RWE)

The use of a climbing construction crane allowed for a smaller hardstand.


**Benefits of the solution**

The use of Enkagrid MAX 60 in the crane foundation allowed for a significant reduction in layer thickness and weight, while ensuring that crane loads were evenly distributed over the platform structure. The wrap-around method ensures that the structure can withstand the lateral strain at the edges along the steep slope on the landward side of the dike.

**About Enkagrid**

Enkagrid products include bi-axial and uni-axial geogrids in various tensile strengths. The bi-axial Enkagrid MAX provides the load-uptake capacities needed in the sub-base stabilization of roads, railways and foundations, whereas the uni-axial Enkagrid PRO products are applied in structures such as retaining walls, embankments, or in steep slopes up to 90° to ensure their internal stability.

A special and recently introduced Enkagrid combines the regular bi-axial grid with a nonwoven geotextile in a single product for increased project efficiency. Enkagrid geogrids are made of extruded polymer straps that are laser-welded at regular intervals, guaranteeing high performance and providing excellent interlocking between grid and aggregate.



Cross section of the working platform at the embankment toe

Enkagrid is a product of Enka Solutions, a global pioneer that introduced the use of geosynthetics to the civil engineering world more than 60 years ago and has been at the forefront of developing many geosynthetic applications ever since. Apart from solutions for soil reinforcement, Enka Solutions products such as Enkamat, Enkadrain and Colbondrain are used in projects where erosion control, horizontal or vertical drainage, or rapid soil consolidation are required in transportation infrastructure as well as in hydraulic and environmental engineering. A team of experts is ready to support projects from the design phase up to installation. Enka Solutions products are globally available.



Enkagrid® MAX

Follow Enka Solutions on Linked In for updates on Civil engineering projects and more:

Follow us on LinkedIn



Enka Solutions is a brand of **Freudenberg Performance Materials**

+31 (0)85 744 1300  
info@enkasolutions.com  
www.enkasolutions.com

# Your partner in geosynthetics

## What can Normec QS do for you?

- Quality control during installation geosynthetics
- Service life analysis of geosynthetics
- Residual life on existing foil structures and pipes
- Independent study on expected residual life on foil structures and plastic pipes

## Why choose Normec QS?

- Accredited Third Party Testing, Inspection and Certification
- International experience and expertise
- Personal approach



Improve Quality. Reduce Risk.



## Want to know more?

Contact us: [info-qs@normecgroup.com](mailto:info-qs@normecgroup.com)  
or +31 88 166 2000

